## Approved For Release 2006/09/25 : CIA-RDP80B01676R091300100009-0

## NOTES FOR DDCI

## 14 September 1964

		1.	Chretien	advis	es, i	n ans	wer to	your	query	, that we	will b	e ''good	guys''
in	the	$\mathbf{Buz}$	Sawyer	strip.	The	artist	was	referr	ed to	us by the	Navy,	and car	ne
to	see	Pau	l recentl	y. Ih	ave a	sked	Paul	to look	over	his note	s of thi	s meetir	ıg
and	d fiz	c up	a memo	for you	1.								

2. Over the weekend Peer DeSilva reported in a cable to the DCI that
Ambassador Taylor had told him that the DCI was somewhat concerned with the
matter of intelligence coordination in Saigon, and that the DCI seems dissatisfied
with the Station's handling of this function. Peer asked the DCI for details. The
DCI responded: "Taylor completely misunderstood. I am in no way dissatisfied
with your handling of the intelligence function, nor am I dissatisfied with the intel-
ligence functions of MACV. What concerns me is lack of detailed current intelli-
gence on VC locations, activities, and operations which make possible recurrent
and discouraging ambushes. I am at a loss to understand how VC forces can
assemble in battalion size or greater in geographic areas or in the vicinity of
communities which are presumably held by government elements without some
advance knowledge of the presence of VC being communicated to the authorities.
I am at a loss to understand how a battalion size attack could occur 4 miles from
the Saigon airport without a civilian informant communicating a warning. In sum,
where are the Vietnamese Paul Reveres?

"Obtaining info of this type seems to me to be the responsibility of the Vietnamese civilian and military and I raised the question as to whether they are properly organized, trained, and motivated, and whether the friendly population is in support. I do not believe that CAS or MACV can do this, but we must see that it is done and done efficiently by the Vietnamese.

"Again, I repeat the views I expressed to Taylor do not reflect a "dissatisfaction with your handling of this function", but rather a concern as to why we are having surprises of VC presence in comparatively large units."

3. During the first chaotic hours of the weekend coup in appeared so important to develop quick and close contacts with the DCI gave DeSilva latitude to recall think this was necessary and was able to work things out okay.	

5. The USS Wright, the National Emergency Command Post Afloat, and the one to which you and other wheels are to fly on 24 September in connection

## Approved For Release 2006/09/25 : CIA-RDP80B01676R094300100009-0

25X1

with	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ was found on $17$	2 September to be floodi:	ng badly. Until
repairs are con	npleted, there is no ru	udder control and the shondition, the NMCC says	ip can be moved only
used as a Comm	nand Post only if anch	ored or tied to a pier!!	the wright can be
		-	
details in red	e have been some sna gard to the DCI's trip.	fus I am not familiar	with all of the
	sara to the Dor 5 trip.		25
7. Ther	e is some puzzlement	in the White House as t	o what to do about the
panel of wise me	en selected by LBJ to	advise him on foreign p	olicy matters. There
is some thought	that the thing to do fi	rst is to get all of them	briefed on the state 2
of the world. If	so, this could give us	s a busy time.	_

- 9. I have looked into the matter of the U-2 charts which you had wanted disseminated to the members of the NRO ExCom. Copies were sent to McMillan, Vance, and Fubini on 9 September, the day before the meeting. McMillan's got to him rather quickly, but delivery to the other two was made through the DIA Control Registry which apparently did not move quickly. We are checking with the Control Registry to see what went wrong. In any case, your previous dissemination directive had been adhered to.
- 10. You asked me not long ago to insure that DDS&T, OSI, and Security were clued in on the DCI's notes for his European briefing. DDS&T and OSI had helped in the preparation. In advising of their position on the matter, Security made one good recommendation; namely, that the introductory remarks include a statement emphasizing the high degree of security protection the U.S. gives to satellite reconnaissance and the results of same. Such a statement is being drafted for inclusion.